Experiences with Plant DA and future options

University of Lincoln

University of Birmingham

University of Liverpool

University of Surrey

University of Warwick

Karolinska University Hospital, Sweden

University of Cape Town

University Hospital Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

The Christie NHS Foundation Trust

University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust

iThemba LABS, SA

ISDI



aSpect

Nigel M Allinson

On behalf of the PRaVDA Consortium



University of Lincoln



UNIVERSITY OF LINCOLN

Funded by



Proton Imaging Workshop: Lyon 2018



Reducing uncertainties

	Uncertainties in SPR e		
Uncertainty source	Lung (%)	Soft (%)	
Uncertainties in patient CT imaging	3.3	0.6	
Uncertainties in the parameterized stoichiometric formula to calculate theoretical CT numbers	3.8	0.8	
Uncertainties due to deviation of actual human body tissue from ICRU standard tissue	0.2	1.2	
Uncertainties in mean excitation energies	0.2	0.2	
Uncertainties due to energy dependence of SPR not accounted by dose algorithm	0.2	0.2	
Total (root-sum-square)	5.0	1.6	

Yang, M., Zhu, X.R., Park, P.C., Titt, U., Mohan, R., Virshup, G., Clayton, J.E. and Dong, L., 2012. Comprehensive analysis of proton range uncertainties related to patient stoppingpower-ratio estimation using the stoichiometric calibration. Physics in medicine and biology, **57**, p.4095.

> Besemer, A., Paganetti, H. and Bednarz, B., 2013. The clinical impact of uncertainties in the mean excitation energy of human tissues during proton therapy. Physics in medicine



and biology, **58**, p.887.











Reducing uncertainties

Total range uncertainty ±2.4% + 1.2 mm – H. Paganetti, Physics in Medicine and Biology 57(11): R99–R117 ±3.5% – M.Yang et al., Physics in medicine and biology, 57(13), 4095.



particle therapy

PARTICLE THERAPY RESEARCH UPDATE DECT proves optimal for proton planning 09 May 2018 Tami Freeman





Dual Energy x-ray CT (DECT) Reduce by $\sim 1\%$

Proton CT To <1%

Aim to reduce range uncertainty in treatment planning to ~1%, to achieve a percent dose difference (ΔD) to distance to agreement (DTA) of $\Delta D/$ DTA=1% per mm as prescribed for treatment QA



Effect of ±3% range error

- Never use the distal edge
- Need is to reduce exposure of healthy tissue
- To ensure target coverage, irradiate a larger volume of healthy tissue (PTV margins).
- To ensure OARs are within tolerance under patient shifts, compromise target coverage.
- The larger the uncertainty accounted for, the greater the compromise.



Uncertainty pyramid

Variation in patient anatomy through treatment

lesser

- Beam energy
- Patient positioning
- **CT** uncertainties
- Distal edge RBE

greater

Adaptive PBT pathway





Principles of proton CT instrument



- Increase proton energy and reduce intensity of beam by a factor of $\sim 10,000$.
- pair of distal trackers records corresponding exit trajectory.
- Residual Energy Resolving Detector (RERD) logs the residual energy of each proton.
- Following information for each proton: entry position, exit position and energy absorbed. To produce a clinical-quality CT, require $\sim 10^{7-8}$ such triplets.

Pair of proximal position-sensitive trackers records trajectory of each incident proton and identical

PR instrument



pair

pair

- frequency

• Three equi-rotated custom silicon strip sensors – greatly reduces anomalous events • Cope with high flux levels (treatment beam) • High count rate – 2×10⁸ protons/s detected

over full detector area (@ 26 MHz spill

150 µm-thick n-in-p silicon, active area 93 × 96 mm and strip pitch of 90.8 μ m

Proton tracker results

Proton trackers – iThemba LABS proton vault

PROVIDA instrument

- Currently 22 layers of silicon strip sensors
 - Can replace with high-speed CMOS imagers

Range Telescope

Proton CT modalities

- Stopping-power most crucial quantity for PBT planning
- For biological materials: stopping-power, scattering-power and attenuating-power can be related to electron density (Kanematsu et al., Medical Physics 39, 1016, 2012)

- Scattering and attenuation power only require trackers – reduced system complexity
- Combine two or more modalities to yield improved quality pCT

relative straggling-power

relative stopping-power

relative scattering-power

relative attenuating-power

Simulated

Relative scattering-power pCT

Experimental Result

x-ray CT

Taylor, J.T., et al., (2016), An experimental demonstration of a new type of proton computed tomography using a novel silicon tracking *detector*. Med. Phys., **43**: 6129–6136.

Mate

PMN

AP7(adi

WT1(w equiva RB2 avera SB5 h cortical LN10

AIF

*The image slices containing the LNIO insert and air cavity manifest streak artefacts that compromise quantitative accuracy. For that reason, percentages error is not shown for these two materials.

I mm diameter sphere

Relative stopping-power pCT

rial	Density [g/cc]	Expected RSP	pCT RSP	Percent error
ΛA	~1.16	1.15	1.15	0.0
ipose)	0.92	0.95	0.94	-0.7
vater lent)	1.00	1.00	0.98	-1.6
rib/ age	1.40	1.21	1.22	1.2
nard bone	1.84	1.63	1.62	-0.4
lung	0.25-0.35	0.25	0.29*	_*
२	0.00	0.00	0.09*	_*

Comparison of known residual stopping power for Leeds Test Objects and as measured using proton CT

Proton CT slices of 6-insert phantom

Reconstructed CT image coronal slices of the imaging phantom

Comparison of film radiograph and radiographs from the PRaVDA system

Preliminary

Proton CT biological sample

Proton CT

Preliminary

Coronal pCT and 120kV X-ray CT image slices. Fine bone structure is visible in both images. No smoothing has been applied to the pCT image

Lamb chop in agar

X-ray CT

University of Lincoln

University of Birmingham

University of Manchester

University of Surrey

Research Room, Christie PBT Centre

- "Fourth Treatment Room"
- Horizontal scanning nozzle no gantry
- Full independent control and beam access (out of hours!)

- Design for pencil beams
- Design for gantry use
- Design to match clinical needs and treatment workflows
- Design for manufacture

Advantages of Scanning

Broad beam (scattering)

Reduction in large-angle scattered protons and secondary radiation

Pencil beam (scanning)

Need to think differently

More beam scatter More secondary radiation

Big expensive sensors

Need smaller agile sensors: lower cost, faster readout, reduced processing power Close integration with cyclotron/delivery system

Imaging dose

Less than conventional x-ray CT

PRaVDA

Low-dose radiographs

Overall dose ~0.5 Gy

relative stoppingpower

relative stragglingpower

Very low dose – 80 microGy (phantom centre) **Paediatric Application**

What are we really doing?

Ideal High spatial resolution Correct RSP, etc

X-ray CT High spatial resolution Calibration uncertainties in RSP

Stopping Power pCT Low spatial resolution Correct RSP

Scenarios

Replace cone-beam CT for session CT

- Image quality >= Cone-beam CT
- Reconstruction time comparable
- Acquire full pCT
- Confirm/modify plan

Augment cone-beam CT for session CT

- Image spatial quality < Cone-beam CT
- Aquire selected proton projections
- Calibrate/confirm/modify plan

What we need to do

- Calibration of the planning pCT for proton beam therapy
- Calibration of phantoms, etc. for PBT international standing
- Compare pCT with other indirect methods of reducing certainty (e.g. DECT)
- Explore potential for proton (and other charged particle) imaging
- Fusion with other modalities (e.g. X-ray, MRI, prompt-gammas)
- Develop Adaptive Optimum Treatments
- Translate, commercialise, benefit patients

INANK YOU

nallinson@lincoln.ac.uk

And Remember ...

October 1971 Atkinson Morley Hospital, London

Today

